

Like 433 Tweet FGCVIRGPCN/RTQEQPQTIXIGYTGUQWTEGRJR!TGUQWTEG-&

Become a Fan

195 Online

7, 1983

I

Late Professor of Jurisprudence at Fordham University

Ear the Death Banalty " Now York Times

"The Death Penalty: Questions and Answers," ACLU.org Apr. 9, 2007

2 TOU CPF % OPU & GCVJ 2 GPCNV 2 TO% OP OTI 60R 4. Retribution PRO: "Society is justly ordered when each person receives CON: "Retribution is just another word for revenge, and the what is due to him. Crime disturbs this just order, for the desire for revenge is one of the lowest human emotions criminal takes from people their lives, peace, liberties, and perhaps sometimes understandable, but not really a rational worldly goods in order to give himself undeserved benefits. response to a critical situation. To kill the person who has killed Deserved punishment protects society morally by restoring this someone close to you is simply to continue the cycle of just order, making the wrongdoer pay a price equivalent to the violence which ultimately destroys the avenger as well as the harm he has done. This is retribution, not to be confused with offender. That this execution somehow give 'closure' to a tragedy revenge, which is guided by a different motive. In retribution the is a myth. Expressing one's violence simply reinforces the spur is the virtue of indignation, which answers injury with injury desire to express it. Just as expressing anger simply makes us for public good ... Retribution is the primary purpose of just more angry. It does not drain away. It contaminates the punishment as such... [R]ehabilitation, protection, and otherwise good will which any human being needs to progress in deterrence have a lesser status in punishment than retribution.' love and understanding." J. Budziszewski, PhD 🕁 Raymond A. Schroth, SJ Professor of Government and Philosophy at the University of Jesuit Priest and Community Professor of the Humanities at St. Peter's College Texas at Austin "Capital Punishment: The Case for Justice," Email to ProCon.org Sep. 5, 2008 OrthodoxvTodav.org Aug./Sep. 2004 5. Irrevocable Mistakes PRO: "...No system of justice can produce results which are CON: "...Since the reinstatement of the modern death penalty. 100% certain all the time. Mistakes will be made in any system 87 people have been freed from death row because they were which relies upon human testimony for proof. We should be later proven innocent. That is a demonstrated error rate of 1 vigilant to uncover and avoid such mistakes. Our system of innocent person for every 7 persons executed. When the justice rightfully demands a higher standard for death penalty consequences are life and death, we need to demand the same cases. However, the risk of making a mistake with the standard for our system of justice as we would for our airlines... extraordinary due process applied in death penalty cases is very It is a central pillar of our criminal justice system that it is better small, and there is no credible evidence to show that any that many guilty people go free than that one innocent should innocent persons have been executed at least since the death suffer... Let us reflect to ensure that we are being just. Let us penalty was reactivated in 1976... The inevitability of a mistake pause to be certain we do not kill a single innocent person. This should not serve as grounds to eliminate the death penalty any is really not too much to ask for a civilized society. more than the risk of having a fatal wreck should make Russ Feingold, JD automobiles illegal...' US Senator (D-WI) Steven D. Stewart, JD introducing the "National Death Penalty Moratorium Act of 2000" Prosecuting Attorney for Clark County Indiana April 26, 2000 Message on the Clark County Prosecutor website accessed Aug. 6, 2008 6. Cost of Death vs. Life in Prison PRO: "Many opponents present, as fact, that the cost of the CON: "In the course of my work, I believe I have reviewed every death penalty is so expensive (at least \$2 million per case?), state and federal study of the costs of the death penalty in the that we must choose life without parole ('LWOP') at a cost of \$1 past 25 years. One element is common to all of these studies: million for 50 years. Predictably, these pronouncements may be They all concluded that the cost of the death penalty amounts entirely false. JFA [Justice for All] estimates that LWOP cases to a net expense to the state and the taxpavers. Or to put it will cost \$1.2 million-\$3.6 million more than equivalent death differently, the death penalty is clearly more expensive than a penalty cases. There is no question that the up front costs of system handling similar cases with a lesser punishment. [It] the death penalty are significantly higher than for equivalent combines the costliest parts of both punishments: lengthy and LWOP cases. There also appears to be no question that, over complicated death penalty trials, followed by incarceration for time, equivalent LWOP cases are much more expensive... than life... Everything that is needed for an ordinary trial is needed for death penalty cases. Opponents ludicrously claim that the a death penalty case, only more so: death penalty costs, over time, 3-10 times more than LWOP." · More pre-trial time ... More experts.. Dudley Sharp 🛣 • Twice as many attorneys... Director of Death Penalty Resources at Justice for All · Two trials instead of one will be conducted: one for guilt and "Death Penalty and Sentencing Information," Justice for All one for punishment. website · And then will come a series of appeals during which the Oct. 1, 1997 inmates are held in the high security of death row." Richard C. Dieter, MS, JD *** Executive Director of the Death Penalty Information Center Testimony to the Judiciary Committee of the Colorado State House of Representatives regarding "House Bill 1094 - Costs of the Death Penalty and Related Issues" Feb. 7. 2007 7. Race PRO: "[T]he fact that blacks and Hispanics are charged with CON: "Despite the fact that African Americans make up only 13 capital crimes out of proportion to their numbers in the general percent of the nation's population, almost 50 percent of those population may simply mean that blacks and Hispanics commit currently on the federal death row are African American. And

even though only three people have been executed under the

racial minorities. Furthermore, all six of the next scheduled

executions are African Americans. The U.S. Department of

Justice's own figures reveal that between 2001 and 2006, 48

argument against the death penalty is that it is handed out in a

percent of defendants in federal cases in which the death

penalty was sought were African Americans... the biggest

biased, racially disparate manner.'

federal death penalty in the modern era, two of them have been

FGCVIRGPCN/RTQEQPQTIXKGYTGUQWTEGRJR!TGUQWTEG&

among criminals."

capital crimes out of proportion to their numbers. Capital

that this group contains more twenty-year-olds than

criminals don't look like America... No one is surprised to find

more men than women in this class. Nor is it a shock to find

septuagenarians. And if - as the left tirelessly maintains -

poverty breeds crime, and if - as it tiresomely maintains - the

the left entirely denies - that minorities will be 'overrepresented'

poor are disproportionately minority, then it must follow - as

Pin it!

| 60R 210U CPF % 0PU & GCVI 2 GPCN/ 210% 0P 011 | |
|--|--|
| Roger Clegg, JD | National Association for the Advancement of Colored People |
| General Counsel at the Center for Equal Opportunity "The Color of Death: Does the Death Penalty Discriminate?," National Review Online June 11, 2001 | (NAACP) ☆ "NAACP Remains Steadfast in Ending Death Penalty & Fighting Injustice in America's Justice System," NAACP website June 28, 2007 |
| 8. Income Level | |
| PRO: "The next urban legend is that of the threadbare but plucky public defender fighting against all odds against a team of sleek, heavily-funded prosecutors with limitless resources. The reality in the 21st century is startlingly different the past few decades have seen the establishment of public defender systems that in many cases rival some of the best lawyers retained privately Many giant silk-stocking law firms in large cities across America not only provide pro-bono counsel in capital cases, but also offer partnerships to lawyers whose sole job is to promote indigent capital defense." Joshua Marquis, JD District Attorney of Clatsop County, Oregon "The Myth of Innocence," Journal of Criminal Law and | CON: "Who pays the ultimate penalty for crimes? The poor. Who gets the death penalty? The poor. After all the rhetoric that goes on in legislative assemblies, in the end, when the net is cast out, it is the poor who are selected to die in this country. And why do poor people get the death penalty? It has everything to do with the kind of defense they get. Money gets you good defense. That's why you'll never see an O.J. Simpson on death row. As the saying goes: 'Capital punishment means them without the capital get the punishment."" Helen Prejean, MA ☆ Anti-death penalty activist and author of Dead Man Walking "Would Jesus Pull the Switch?," Salt of the Earth 1997 |
| Criminology Mar. 31, 2005 | |
| 9. Attorney Quality | |
| PRO: "Defense attorneys routinely file all manner of motions and objections to protect their clients from conviction. Attorneys know their trial tactics will be thoroughly scrutinized on appeal, so every effort is made to avoid error, ensuring yet another level of protection for the defendant. They [death penalty opponents] have painted a picture of incompetent defense lawyers, sleeping throughout the trial, or innocent men being executed. Their accusations receive wide media coverage, resulting in a near-daily onslaught on the death penalty. Yet, through all the hysteria, jurors continue to perform their responsibilities and return death sentences." California District Attorneys Association (CDAA) "Prosecutors' Perspective on California's Death Penalty," www.cdaa.org Mar. 2003 | CON: "[A] shocking two out of three death penalty convictions have been overturned on appeal because of police and prosecutorial misconduct, as well as serious errors by incompetent court-appointed defense attorneys with little experience in trying capital cases. How can we contend that we provide equal justice under the law when we do not provide adequate representation to the poor in cases where a life hangs in the balance? We, the Congress, must bear our share of responsibility for this deplorable situation. In short, while others, like Governor Ryan in Illinois, have recognized the flaws in the death penalty, the Congress still just doesn't get it. This system is broken." John Conyers, Jr., JD <i>US Congressman (D-MI)</i> <i>Hearing for the Innocence Protection Act of 2000 before the</i> <i>Subcommittee on Crime of the Committee on the Judiciary of</i> <i>the House of Representatives</i> <i>June 20, 2000</i> |
| 10. Physicians at Executions | |
| PRO: "Accepting capital punishment in principle means accepting it in practice, whether by the hand of a physician or anyone else If one finds the practice too brutal, one must either reject it in principle or seek to mitigate its brutality. If one chooses the latter option, then the participation of physicians seems more humane than delegating the deed to prison wardens, for by condoning the participation of untrained people who could inflict needless suffering that we physicians might have prevented, we are just as responsible as if we had inflicted the suffering ourselves. The AMA [American Medical Association] position should be changed either to permit | CON : "The American Medical Association's policy is clear and unambiguous requiring physicians to participate in executions violates their oath to protect lives and erodes public confidence in the medical profession. A physician is a member of a profession dedicated to preserving life The use of a physician's clinical skill and judgment for purposes other than promoting an individual's health and welfare undermines a basic ethical foundation of medicine — first, do no harm. The guidelines in the AMA Code of Medical Ethics address physician participation in executions involving lethal injection. The ethical opinion explicitly prohibits selecting injection sites for executions by |
| physician participation of to advocate the abolition of capital punishment. The hypocritical attitude of 'My hands are clean — let the spectacle proceed' only leads to needless human suffering." | lethal injection, starting intravenous lines, prescribing, administering, or supervising the use of lethal drugs, monitoring vital signs, on site or remotely, and declaring death." |
| Bruce E. Ellerin, MD, JD Doctor of Oncology Radiation at Sierra Providence Health Network Response letter to the New England Journal of Medicine regarding an article titled "When Law and Ethics Collide — Why Physicians Participate in Executions," by Atul Gawande, MD July 6, 2006 | American Medical Association (AMA) ☆ "AMA: Physician Participation in Lethal Injection Violates Medical Ethics," press release from the AMA website July 17, 2006 |
| PRO Death Penalty | CON Death Penalty |

Reprinting Policy | How to Cite ProCon.org | How to Use ProCon.org | Media & Press | Disclaimer | Privacy Policy

© 2012 ProCon.org, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit | 233 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200, Santa Monica, CA 90401 | Tel: 310-451-9596