Thermonuclear Reactions

- Eddington in 1920s hypothesized that fusion reactions between light elements were the energy source of the stars.
- Stellar evolution = (con) sequence of nuclear reactions
- $E_{\text{kinetic}} \approx kT_c \approx 8.62 \times 10^{-8} T \sim \text{keV}$,

but $E_{\text{Coulomb barrier}} = \frac{Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{r} = \frac{1.44 Z_1 Z_2}{r[\text{fm}]} \sim \text{MeV}$, 3 orders higher than the kinetic energy of the particles.

 Tunneling effect in QM proposed by Gamow (1928, Z. Physik, 52, 510); applied to energy source in stars by Atkinson & Houtermans (1929, Z. Physik, 54, 656)

George Gamow (1904-1968)

Russian-born physicist, stellar and big bang nucleosynthesis, CMB, DNA, Mr. Thompkins series



1929 U Copenhagen

1960s U Colorado



Figure 4.2 Schematic representation of the Coulomb barrier – the repulsive potential encountered by a nucleus in motion relative to another – and the short-range negative potential well that is due to the nuclear force. The height of the barrier and the depth of the well depend on the nuclear charge (atomic number).

Quantum mechanics tunneling effect



Figure 3.4 Illustration of the potential seen by particle b when approaching particle A with a kinetic energy E_{kin} , and the corresponding wavefunction Ψ ; classically, particle b would reach only a distance r_1 from particle A before being repelled by the Coulomb force

Cross section for nuclear reactions (penetrating probability) $\propto e^{-\pi Z_1 Z_2 e^2 / \varepsilon_0 h v}$ This \nearrow as v \checkmark

Velocity probability distribution (Maxwellian) $\propto e^{-mv^2/2kT}$ This \searrow as v \nearrow

\therefore Product of these 2 factors \rightarrow <u>Gamow peak</u>



Fig. 4-6 The dominant energy-dependent factors in thermonuclear reactions. Most of the reactions occur in the high-energy tail of the maxwellian energy distribution, which introduces the rapidly falling factor $\exp(-E/kT)$. Penetration through the coulomb barrier introduces the factor $\exp(-bE^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, which vanishes strongly at low energy. Their product is a fairly sharp peak near an energy designated by E_0 , which is generally much larger than kT. The peak is pushed out to this energy by the penetration factor, and it is therefore commonly called the *Gamow peak* in honor of the physicist who first studied the penetration through the coulomb barrier.



Fig. 4-7 The Gamow peak for the reaction $C^{12}(p,\gamma)N^{13}$ at $T = 30 \times 10^6$ °K. The curve is actually somewhat asymmetric about E_0 , but it is nonetheless adequately approximated by a gaussian.

A 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

Resonance \rightarrow very sharp peak in the reaction rate \rightarrow 'ignition' of a nuclear reaction

So there exists a narrow range of temperature in which the reaction rate $\uparrow\uparrow$ \rightarrow a power law

Resonance reactions

Energy of interacting particles \approx Energy level of compound nucleus

 \rightarrow an ignition (threshold) temperature

For a thermonuclear reaction or a nucleosynthesis (fusion) process, the reaction rate is expressed as

 $q \text{ [energy released per mass]} \propto \rho^m T^n$

$\begin{array}{c} vt \\ \sigma \\ \ell \end{array} v$

A two-body encounter, [# of collisions] = [total # of particles in the (moving) volume]so $N = n (\sigma v t)$

- \checkmark # of collisions per unit time = $^{N}/_{t} = n \sigma v$
- ✓ Time between 2 consecutive collisions, mean free time (N=1), $t_{col} = 1/n \sigma v$
- $\checkmark \underline{\text{Mean free path}} \ \ell = vt_{\text{col}} = 1/n \ \sigma$

Collision

Nuclear reaction rate

$$\checkmark r_{12} \propto n_1 n_2 \langle \sigma v \rangle \propto n_1 n_2 \exp \left[-C \left(\frac{z_1^2 z_2^2}{T_6} \right)^{1/3} \right] \left[\text{cm}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1} \right]$$

- $\checkmark \text{ As } T \nearrow, r_{12} \nearrow \nearrow$
- \checkmark Major reactions are those with smallest Z_1Z_2
- ✓ n_i is the particle volume number density, $n_i m_i = \rho X_i$, where X_i is the mass fraction
- $\checkmark q_{12} \propto Q \ \rho \ X_1 \ X_2 / m_1 m_2 \ [erg g^{-1} s^{-1}]$



Deuterium Burning

 $M_{\odot}c^2 = 2 \times 10^{54} \text{ ergs}$ $1 \text{ amu} = 931 \text{ Mev}/c^2$

2H+ 'H -> 3He + & (T> 10 K) 2H(1H,8)3He

$$\mathcal{Q}_{DP} = 5.5 \text{ MeV}$$

 $\mathcal{Q}_{DP} = 4.19 \times 10^{7} \left[\frac{D_{H}}{I} \right] \left(\frac{C}{18 \text{ m}^{3}} \right) \left(\frac{T}{10^{6} \text{ K}} \right)^{11.8} \left[\text{ lerg g}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \right]$

 $\text{ISM value}_{I} < \frac{D_{H}}{I} > -2 \times 10^{5}$

 $n + p \rightarrow D + \gamma$ (production of D) $D + D \rightarrow {}^{4}He + \gamma$ (destruction) \rightarrow faster The lower the mass density, the more the *D* abundant \Rightarrow *D* as a sensitive tracer of the density of the early Universe

Before the Big Bang nucleosynthesis, there were plenty of neutrons, but much less abundant than protons, so all neutrons go into making ${}^{4}He$ $\rightarrow {}^{4}He \approx \frac{n/2}{(n+p)/4} = \frac{2n}{n+p}$

Current value $n/p \approx 0.12$, so ${}^{4}He \approx 2/9$, as observed today.

D/H

- 156 ppm ... Terrestrial seawater (1.56×10^{-4})
- 22~26 ppm ... Jupiter
- 17 ppm ... Saturn
- 55 ppm ... Uranus
- 200 ppm ... Halley's Comet

Recall a star's central temperature Te~ MGM. ~ mass distr. R Numerically $T_c = 7.5 \times 10^6 \kappa \left(\frac{M_*}{M_0}\right) \left(\frac{R_*}{P}\right)$ · M* = 0.4 Mo -> Te ~ 10 K



Fig. 5-1 The path on the H-R diagram of the contraction of the sun to the main sequence. The interior has become sufficiently hot to burn deuterium after about 10⁵ years. The contraction ceases near the main sequence when the core has become hot enough to replenish the solar luminosity with the thermonuclear power generated by the fusion of hydrogen into helium. [After D. Ezer and A. G. W. Cameron, The Contraction Phase of Stellar Evolution, in R. F. Stein and A. G. W. Cameron (eds.), "Stellar Evolution," Plenum Press, New York, 1966.]

Clayton 17

THE BIRTHLINE FOR LOW-MASS STARS

STEVEN W. STAHLER Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts Received 1983 January 19; accepted 1983 May 4

ABSTRACT

Using the results of protostar theory, I find the locus in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram where pre-main-sequence stars of subsolar mass should begin their quasi-static contraction phase and first appear as visible objects. This "birthline" is in striking agreement with observations of T Tauri stars, providing a strong confirmation of the fact that these stars are indeed contracting along Hayashi tracks. The assumption that most T Tauri stars first appear along this line forces a recalibration of their ages. This recalibration removes the puzzling dip in present-day star formation seen in age histograms of several cloud complexes. Since the underlying protostar calculation assumes that the parent cloud was only thermally supported prior to its collapse, the observed location of the birthline places severe restrictions on the degree of extrathermal support provided by rotation, magnetic fields, or turbulence. In addition, the hypothesis that the collapse from thermally supported clouds to low-mass stars proceeds through protostellar disks appears untenable, since the disk accretion process almost certainly produces pre-main-sequence stars with radii well below the observed birthline.

Protostars are heavily embedded in clouds, so obscured, with no definition of T_{eff}

Birthline=beginning of PMS; star becomes optically visible \approx deuterium main sequence



... compared with observations

3S

 \sim

25

1987ARA&A



Figure 4 Hertzsprung-Russell diagrams from Cohen & Kuhi (1979) showing theoretical pre-main-sequence contraction tracks and T Tauri stars in the Taurus-Auriga and Orion cloud complexes. The heavy solid curve is the theoretical "birthline" of Stahler (1983).

Lithium Burning

7Li + 1H -> "He + "He (T>3×10"K) ISM [Li/H]~2×10 Primordial abundance 10 x lower, produced by cosmic rays & hitting 4 Ho (inverse reaction) Li measurable in Stellar spectra LiI 6708Å absorption (actually doublet 6707.78 and 6707.93 but difficult to resolve



Figure 16.9 Lithium absorption in a pre-main-sequence star. Shown is a portion of the optical spectrum of BP Tau, a T Tauri star of spectral type K7, corresponding to an effective temperature of 4000 K. Also shown, for comparison, is a main-sequence star of the same spectral type, 61 Cyg B. Only in the first star do we see the Li I absorption line at 6708 Å. Both objects also have a strong line due to neutral calcium.

 $M > 1.2 M_sun \rightarrow$ shallow convection \rightarrow surface Li does not deplete during contraction

For protostars with $T_c \ge 3 \times 10^6$ K, the central lithium is readily destroyed.

Stars $\geq 0.9 M_{\odot}$ become radiative at the core, so Li not fully depleted.

Li abundance \rightarrow age clock





Stars	$\mathcal{M}/M_{\odot} > 0.08$, core H fusion					
	Spectral types O, B, A, F, G, K, M					
Brown Dwarfs	$0.065 > M/M_{\odot} > 0.013$, core D fusion $0.080 > M/M_{\odot} > 0.065$, core Li fusion					
	Spectral types M6.5–9, L, T, Y					
	Electron degenerate core					
	$\sim 10 \mathrm{g}\mathrm{cm}^{-3} < ho_c < 10^3 \mathrm{g}\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$					
	$\checkmark T_c < 3 \times 10^6 \mathrm{K}$					
Planets	$\mathcal{M}/\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ < 0.013, no fusion ever					



FIG. 7.—Evolution of the luminosity (in L_{\odot}) of solar-metallicity M dwarfs and substellar objects vs. time (in yr) after formation. The stars, "brown dwarfs" and "planets" are shown as solid, dashed, and dot-dashed curves, respectively. In this figure, we arbitrarily designate as "brown dwarfs" those objects that burn deuterium, while we designate those that do not as "planets." The masses (in M_{\odot}) label most of the curves, with the lowest three corresponding to the mass of Saturn, half the mass of Jupiter, and the mass of Jupiter.



Brown dwarfs and very lowmass stars ... partial P_{deg}^{e-}

White dwarfs \approx completely degenerate, $R \searrow$ as $M \nearrow$

Terrestrial planets R ↗ as M↗ ← complicated EoSs

Figure 12.4 <u>Mass-radius relation</u> for low-mass objects (following H. S. Zapolsky & E. E. Salpeter, *Astrophys. J.* 158). Different curves correspond to <u>different compositions</u>, as indicated. The locations of several planets – Earth, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune – are marked by the planets' symbols. Also marked are the locations of two white dwarfs, Sirius B (§) and 40 Eridani B (ϵ) (data from D. Koester (1987), *Astrophys. J.*, 322).

Mass-radius relation max @ $M_{\text{Jupiter}} \approx$ (1/1000) M_{\odot} A hydrogen gas - proton-proton chains 4 H -> "He unlikely => a chain of reactions baryon #, lepton #, charges all conserved $^{2}D + P \rightarrow ^{3}He + 8$ 0.26 MeV escaped (65) (5.49 Mer) ³ He \rightarrow ⁴ He $+ 2P < 10^{6} yr$ (12.85 MeV) PP I chain Note: net $6P \rightarrow$ ⁴ He + 2p³He + ⁴He -> ⁷Be + ⁴

... but the nucleus of deuterium, a deuteron, consists of a proton and a neutron!

neutrino; position and electron (each

0.511 MeV rest energy) annihilate

0.420 MeV to the positron and

→ 1.442 MeV

- $\checkmark p + p \rightarrow {}^{2}He \text{ (unstable)} \rightarrow p + p$
- ✓ Hans Bethe (1939) realized that the weak interaction was capable of converting a proton to a neutron (!) first
- \checkmark Weak interaction \rightarrow very small cross section
- ✓ The neutron is more massive, so this requires energy, i.e., it is an <u>endothermic</u> process, but neutron + proton
 → deuteron (releasing binding energy, so <u>exothermic</u>)



All 3 branches
operate simultaneously.

$$B = 2 Be + 2 Be$$

	group 1																	18
	1.00794 1312.0 2.20	1								ם P	orio	die T	ahla	ofth	no Fl		nte	4.002602 2
period 1	H	-1											anc				Campion version 1.3	He
	Hydrogen	2	_	atomic mass		45 2	6 ato	mic number	alkali 📃	metals	metalloid	ls	13	14	15	16	17	Helium 18 ²
	6.941 520.2 0.98	3 9.012182 4 899.5 1.57	or most st	ization energy		1.83 —	ele	ctronegativit	y 📃 alkali	ne metals	nonmeta	ls	10.811 5 800.6 2.04	12.0107 6	14.0067 7	15.9994 1313.9 3.44 8	18.998403 9	20.1797 10
2	Li	Be		in kJ/mo		~	+5 +4		other	metals	halogens	;	B	С	N	0	F	Ne
	Lithium 18º 281	Beryllium 18º 28º	ch	emical symbol		e	+3 +2		transi	ition metals	noble ga	ses	Boron 1st 2st 2p1	Carbon -	Nitrogen -3 16# 28# 2p ²	Oxygen 16º 28º 2p'	Fluorine 18º 28º 2p'	Neon 16# 26# 2p*
	22.98976 1 495.8 0.93	1 24.3050 12	1	name	Iron	-	-1	dation states	lantha	anoids	unknowr	elements	26.98153 577.5 1.61 13	28.0855 14	30.97696 15	32.065 16	35.453 17 1251.2 3.16	39.948 18
3	Na	Ma	electron	o configuration	—— [Ar] 30	l⁰ 4s²			actino	bids	radioactive (masses in p	elements have arentheses	Al "	Si	P	S	CI	Ar
	Sodium [Ne] 3s1	Magnesium (Ne) 382	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Aluminium [Ne] 3s ² 3p ¹	Silicon	Phosphorus [Ne] 3e ² 3p ²	Sulfur -1 [Ne] 3e ² 3p ⁴	Chlorine	Argon [Ne] 3et 3pt
	39.0983 418.8 0.82	9 40.078 20	44.95591 21	47.867 658.8 1.54 22	50.9415 23	51.9962 652.9 1.66 24	54.93804 25	55.845 762.5 1.83 26	58.93319 27	58.6934 737.1 1.88 28	63.546 745.5 1.90 29	65.38 906.4 1.65 30	69.723 31	72.64 762.0 2.01 32	74.92160 33	78.96 941.0 2.55 34	79.904 35	83.798 36
4	K	[†] Ca [†]	Sc *	Ti	V	Cr 1	Mn	Fe i	Co	Ni	Cu I	7n [*]	Ga	Ge	As [‡]	Se I	Br	Kr [*]
	Potassium [Ar] 481	Calcium [Ar] 48 ^e	Scandium [Ar] 3d ⁴ 48 ⁶	Titanium [Ar] 3dº 4sº	Vanadium -1 [Ar] 3dº 48 ^e	Chromium -1 [Ar] 3d ^a 4s ⁴	Manganese ^{*1} [Ar] 3d ⁹ 4s ² -3	Iron -1 [Ar] 3d" 48 ²	Cobalt -2 [Ar] 3d' 4s ²	Nickel [Ar] 3d [#] 48 ²	Copper [Ar] 3d** 4s*	Zinc [Ar] 3d** 4s ²	Gallium [Ar] 3d** 4s ² 4p*	Germanium [Ar] 3d* 4s ² 4p ²	Arsenic [Ar] 3d* 4s ² 4p ⁹	Selenium [Ar] 3d** 48² 4p*	Bromine -1 [Ar] 3d** 4s ² 4p*	Krypton [Ar] 3d** 4s ² 4p ⁴
	85.4678	7 87.62 549.5 0.96 38	88.90585 39	91.224 40	92.90638 41 652.1 1.60	95.96 684.3 2.16 42	(98) 702.0 1.90 43	101.07 44	102.9055 45	106.42 46	107.8682 47	112.441 48 867.8 1.69	114.818 558.3 1.78 49	118.710 50	121.760 51 834.0 2.05	127.60 869.3 2.10 52	126.9044 53 1008.4 2.66	131.293 54
5	Rb	[®] Sr [®]	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Tc	Ru 🖁	Rh 🚦	Pd [*]	Aa	[*] bO	In [#]	Sn ^ª	Sb ³	Te	+7 +5 +3 +1 -1	Xe
	Rubidium [Kr] 5e1	Strontium [Kr] 56 ²	Yttrium [Kr] 4d ^a 5e ^a	Zirconium [Kr] 4dº 5eº	Niobium [Kr] 4d ^s 58 ^s	Molybdenum 1	Technetium 1 [Kr] 4d° 5s ²	Ruthenium	Rhodium ¹	Palladium [Kr] 4d*	Silver [Kr] 4d* 5s*	Cadmium [Kr] 4d** 582	Indium [Kr] 4d** 5sº 5p*	Tin [Kr] 4d* 5s ² 5p ²	Antimony [Kr] 4d* 5s ² 5p ⁸	Tellurium [Kr] 4d* 5s² 5p'	Iodine [Kr] 4d* 5s² 5p*	Xenon [Kr] 4d* 5s ² 5p ⁴
	132.9054 5 375.7 0.79	5 137.327 56	174.9668 71	178.49 658.5 1.30 72	180.9478 73	183.84 770.0 2.36 74	186.207 75	190.23 76 840.0 2.20	192.217 77	195.084 78 870.0 2.28	196.9665 79	200.59 80	204.3833 81	207.2 715.6 2.33 82	208.9804 83	(210) 812.1 2.00 84	(210) 890.0 2.20 85	(220) 86
6	Cs	Ba	้ ม เ	Hf [‡]	Ta 🚦	W	Re	Os	lr 🖁	Pt 3	Au	Ha [#]	TI *	Pb ^ª	Bi [‡]	Po ¹	At	Rn
	Cæsium [Xe] 661	Barium (Xe) 664	Lutetium [Xe] 4P ^{er} 5d ^e 6s ²	Hafnium (Xe) 4ft ^s 5d ² 6s ²	Tantalum [Xe] 41" 5dº 6sº	Tungsten -1 [Xe] 4t ^{er} 5d ^e 68 ²	Rhenium +1 [Xe] 4f* 5d* 6s* -3	Osmium 42 [Xe] 4f* 5d* 6s2 4	Iridium -1 -3 [Xe] 41** 5d* 6s ²	Platinum [Xe] 4f st 5d ^s 6s ¹	Gold [Xe] 4f ¹⁴ 5d ¹⁸ 6s ¹	Mercbry [Xe] 4f* 5d* 6s ²	Thallium (Xe) 41" 5d" 6e ² 6p1	Lead [Xe] 41" 5d" 6e ² 6p ²	Bismuth [Xe] 41" 5d" 6s ² 6p ³	Polonium [Xe] 41" 5d" 68° 6p'	Astatine [Xe] 41 st 5d st 6e ² 6p ³	Radon [Xe] 41 st 5d st 6e ² 6p ¹
	(223) 380.0 0.70 8	7 (226) 88	(262) 103 470.0	(261) 104	(262) 105	(266) 106	(264) 107	(277) 108	(268) 109	(271) 110	(272) 111	(285) 112	(284) 113	(289) 114	(288) 115	(292) 116	117	⁽²⁹⁴⁾ 118
7	Fr	Ra	Lr [°]	Rf ["]	Db [*]	Sa	Bh [″]	Hs	Mt	Ds	Ra	Cn	Uut	FI	Uup	Lv	Uus	Uuo
	Francium	Radium	Lawrencium	Rutherfordium	Dubnium	Seaborgium	Bohrium	Hassium	Meitnerium	Darmstadium	Roentgenium	Copernicium	Ununtrium	Flerovium	Ununpentium	Livermorium	Ununseptium	Ununoctium

electron configuration blocks

as of yet, elements 113,115,117 and 118 have no official name designated by the IUPAC.
1 kl/mol ≈ 96,485 eV.
all elements are implied to have an oxidation state of zero.

notes

138.9054 57 538.1 1.10	140.116 534.4 1.12 58	140.9076 59	144.242 533.1 1.14 60	(145) 61	150.36 544.5 1.17 62	151.964 63	157.25 593.4 1.20 64	158.9253 65	162.500 573.0 1.22 66	164.9303 67 581.0 1.23	167.259 589.3 1.24 68	168.9342 69	173.054 70
Lanthanum (Xe) 5d ⁺ 6e ²	Cerium	Praseodymium	Neodymium	Promethium	Samarium (Xe) 44° 682	Europium	Gadolinium (Xe) 44' 5d' 68*	Tb Terbium (Xe) 44° 682	Dysprosium [Xe] 4ft* 66*	HO Holmium [Xe] 4t ^{eri} 6s ²	Erbium (Xe) 4tri2 6s ²	Thulium [Xe] 4tre 6s ²	Ytterbium [Xe] 4f* 66*
(227) 89	232.0380 90 587.0 1.30	231.0358 91 568.0 1.50	238.0289 92 597.6 1.38	(237) 604.5 1.36 93	(244) 584.7 1.28 94	(243) 578.0 1.30 95	(247) 581.0 1.30 96	(247) 601.0 1.30 97	(251) 608.0 1.30 98	(252) 619.0 1.30 99	(257) 627.0 1.30 100	(258) 635.0 1.30 101	(259) 642.0 1.30 102
Actinium (Rn) 6d ¹ 7s ²	Thorium (Rn) 6d* 7e ²	Protactinium	Uranium (Rn) 5/P 6dt 764	Neptunium (Rn) 51" 6d' 7s ²	Plutonium	Americium	Curium [Rn] 51" 6d' 782	Berkelium [Rn] 51" 784	Californium	Es Einsteinium (Rn) 5/** 664	Fermium [Rn] 5/** 79 ²	Mendelevium [Rn] 5149 764	Nobelium [Rn] 5/* 784



https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap160125.html

The proton-proton chain

 ${}^{1}\mathrm{H} + {}^{1}\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^{2}\mathrm{D} + \mathrm{e}^{+} + \nu_{e} \quad (1.44 \text{ MeV}, 1.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ yr})$ ${}^{2}\mathrm{D} + {}^{1}\mathrm{H} \rightarrow {}^{3}\mathrm{He} + \gamma \quad (5.49 \text{ MeV}, 6 \text{ s})$

pp I chain

 ${}^{3}\text{He} + {}^{3}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{1}\text{H} + {}^{1}\text{H} \quad (12.85 \text{ MeV}, 10^{6} \text{ yr})$ $\underline{\text{Note:}} \text{ net } 6 {}^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow {}^{4}\text{He} + 2 {}^{1}\text{H}$

pp II chain

³He + ⁴He \rightarrow ⁷Be + γ ⁷Be + e⁻ \rightarrow ⁷Li + ν_e ⁷Li + ¹H \rightarrow ⁴He + ⁴He

<u>pp III chain</u>

³He + ⁴He \rightarrow ⁷Be + γ ⁷Be + ¹H \rightarrow ⁸B + γ ⁸B + \rightarrow ⁸Be + e⁺ + ν_e ⁸Be \rightarrow ⁴He + ⁴He The baryon number, lepton number, and charges are all conserved.

All 3 branches operate simultaneously.

pp I is responsible for > 90% stellar luminosity

pp I important when $T_{\rm c} > 5 \times 10^6 {\rm K}$

$$Q_{total} = 1.44 \times 2 + 5.49 \times 2$$

+12.85 = 27.7 MeV
 $Q_{net} = 27.7 - 0.26 \times 2 = 26.2$ MeV

Exercise

Assuming that the solar luminosity is provided by $4 \,{}^{1}H \rightarrow {}^{4}He$, liberating 26.73 MeV, and that the neutrinos carry off about 2% of the total energy. Estimate how many neutrinos are produced each second from the sun? What is the solar neutrino flux at the earth? (How many neutrinos pass through your body per second?)

Solution

2% is carried away by neutrinos, so the actual energy produced for radiation

 $E = (0.98 \times 26.731 \text{ MeV}) \times 1.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg/eV}$

Each alpha particle produced $\rightarrow 2$ neutrinos, so with $L_{\odot} = 3.846 \times 10^{33}$ ergs/s, the neutrino production rate is 2×10^{38} v/s, and the flux at earth is $2 \times 10^{38}/4\pi (1 \text{ AU})^2 \approx 6.6 \times 10^{10} \text{ v cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

The thermonuclear reaction rate,

$$r_{pp} = 3.09 \times 10^{-37} n_p^2 T_6^{-2/3} \exp\left(-33.81 T_6^{-1/3}\right)$$

$$(1 + 0.0123 T_6^{1/3} + 0.0109 T_6^{2/3} + 0.0009 T_6) \quad [\text{cm}^{-3}\text{s}^{-1}],$$
where the factor $3.09 \times 10^{-37} n_p^2 = 11.05 \times 10^{10} \rho^2 X_H^2$

$$q_{pp} = 2.38 \times 10^{6} \rho X_{H}^{2} T_{6}^{-2/3} \exp\left(-33.81 T_{6}^{-1/3}\right)$$

$$(1 + 0.0123 T_{6}^{1/3} + 0.0109 T_{6}^{2/3} + 0.0009 T_{6}) [\text{erg g}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}]$$

PPI'vs PPI i.e., He to react with He lower temp. or with He T>1.4×10[°]K

Relative importance of each chain i.e., branching ratio $\leftrightarrow T$, P.M $T > 3 \times 10^7 K$, PPM dominates

but in reality, at this temperature, CNO reactions take over.

Overall rate of energy generation is determined by the slowest reaction, i.e., the 1st one, This's

8pp~ P'T", n~4-6

 $Q_{pp} \sim 26.73 \text{ MeV} \approx 6.54 \text{ MeV}$ per proton

 $n \sim 6$ for T $\approx 5 \times 10^{6}$ K $n \sim 3.8$ for T $\approx 15 \times 10^{6}$ K (Sun) $n \sim 3.5$ for T $\approx 20 \times 10^{6}$ K

39



Among all fusion processes, the p-p chain has the lower temperature threshold, and the weakest temperature dependence.

 $Q_{pp} = (M_{4H} - M_{He}) c^2$ = 26.73 MeV But some energy (up to a few MeV) is carried away by neutrinos.



Recognized by Bethe and independently by von Weizsäcker

CN cycle + NO cycle

Cycle can start from any reaction as long as the involved isotope is present.

after that Qeno ~25 MeV carried away by the neutrinos

41



Fig. 10.1. Nuclear energy generation as a function of temperature (with $\rho X^2 = 100$ and $X_{\rm CN} = 0.005X$ for the proton-proton reaction and the carbon cycle, but $\rho^2 Y^3 = 10^8$ for the triple-alpha process).

At the center of the Sun, $q_{\rm CNO}/q_{\rm pp} \approx 0.1$ CNO dominates in stars $> 1.2 M_{\odot}$, i.e., of a spectral type F7 or earlier \rightarrow large energy outflux \rightarrow a convective core

This separates the lower and upper MS.

Schwarzschild

CN cycle takes over the PP chains near $T_6=18$. Helium burning starts ~10⁸ K.

The Solar Standard Model (SSM)

Best structural and evolutionary model to reproduce the observational properties of the Sun

- $L_{\odot} = 3.842 \times 10^{33} \,[\text{ergs/s}]$
- $R_{\odot} = 6.9599 \times 10^{10} \,[\text{cm}]$
- $M_{\odot} = 1.9891 \times 10^{33} \,[\text{gm}]$
- Spectroscopic observations \rightarrow Z/X =0.0245 (latest value seems to indicate $Z_{\odot} = 0.013$)

Neglecting rotation, magnetic fields, and mass loss $(dM/dt \sim 10^{-14} M_{\odot}/\text{yr})$

Sun Fact Sheet

http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/sunfact.html

A He Gas — the triple-alpha process He-burning ignites at $Tc \sim 10^8$ K

 ${}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{4}\text{He} \rightarrow {}^{8}\text{Be}$ (-95 keV, i.e., endothermic)

The lifetime of ⁸Be is 2.6×10^{-16} s but is still longer than the mean-free time between α particles at T_8 (Edwin Salpeter, 1952)

⁸Be + ⁴He \rightarrow ¹²C + γ (7.4 MeV) \leftarrow bottleneck <u>Note</u>: net 3 ⁴He \rightarrow ¹²C

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{3}{\text{R}} = 7.275 \text{ MeV} \xrightarrow{\text{net}} 3^{\text{H}} H_{\text{e}} \rightarrow {}^{2}C \\ & \underset{5.8\times10}{\longrightarrow} 5.8\times10^{17} \text{ srg g}^{1} \sim 0.1 \text{ g} \text{ H} \rightarrow \text{He} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{3}{\text{R}} \sim \rho^{2} T \xrightarrow{40} & \underset{6 \rightarrow 8}{\longrightarrow} \text{bottleneck} = 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ reaction} \\ & \underset{6}{\longrightarrow} 8_{\text{Be}} \end{aligned}$$

Nucleosynthesis during helium burning $C'^{2}(\alpha, \delta') O'^{6} \quad \Omega = 7.162 \text{ MeV}$ $O'^{6}(\alpha, \delta') N_{e}^{20}$



A succession of (α, γ) processes $\rightarrow {}^{16}O, {}^{20}Ne, {}^{24}Mg \dots$ (the α -process)







C-burning ignites when Tc \sim (0.3-1.2) \times 10 9 K, i.e., for stars 15-30 M_{\odot}

O-burning ignites when Tc \sim (1.5-2.6) \times 10^9 K, i.e., for stars > 15-30 M_{\odot}

The *p* and α particles produced are captured immediately (because of the low Coulomb barriers) by heavy elements \rightarrow isotopes 0 burning \rightarrow Si



$$q_{PP} = 2.4 \times 10^{6} \rho X^{2} T_{6}^{-2/3} \exp\left[-33.8 T_{6}^{-1/3}\right] \text{ [erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}]$$
$$q \propto \rho X_{H}^{2} T^{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q_{CN} &= 8 \times 10^{27} \ \rho \ X \ X_{CN} \ T_6^{-2/3} \ \exp\left[-152.3 \ T_6^{-1/3}\right] \ \left[\text{erg g}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1}\right] \\ q &\propto \rho \ X_H X_{CN} \ T^{16} \qquad \frac{X_{CN}}{X_H} = 0.02 \ \text{ok for Pop I} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} q &\propto \rho \ X_H X_{CN} \ T^{16} \qquad \frac{X_{CN}}{X_H} = 0.02 \ \text{ok for Pop I} \\ q_{3\alpha} &= 3.9 \times 10^{11} \ \rho^2 X_{\alpha}^{\ 3} \ T_8^{-3} \ \exp\left[-42.9 \ T_8\right] \ \left[\text{erg g}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1}\right] \\ &\approx 4.4 \ \times 10^{-8} \ \rho^2 X_{\alpha}^{\ 3} \ T_8^{\ 40} \ \left[\text{erg g}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1}\right] \ (\text{if } T_8 \approx 1) \end{aligned}$$

Clayton 47



For example, ${}^{16}O + \alpha \leftrightarrow {}^{20}Ne + \gamma$ If $T < 10^9 \text{ K} \rightarrow$ but if $T \ge 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ K}$ (in radiation field) \leftarrow

So ²⁸Si disintegrates at $\approx 3 \times 10^9$ K to lighter elements (then recaptured ...) Until a nuclear statistical equilibrium is reached

But the equilibrium is <u>not</u> exact

→ a pileup of the iron group nuclei (Fe, Co, Ni) which can resist photodisintegration until 7 × 10⁹ K

Nuclear Fuel	Process	T _{threshold} (10 ⁶ K)	Products	Energy per nucleon (MeV)
Н	p-p	~4	Не	6.55
Н	CNO	15	Не	6.25
Не	3α	100	С, О	0.61
С	C + C	600	O, Ne, Na, Mg	0.54
0	0 + 0	1,000	Mg, S, P, Si	~0.3
Si	Nuc. Equil.	3,000	Co, Fe, Ni	<0.18

From Prialnik Table 4.1

 ${}^{56}Fe + 100 \text{ MeV} \rightarrow 13 {}^{4}He + 4 n$

If $T \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$, even ${}^{4}He \rightarrow p^{+} + n^{0}$

So stellar interior has to be between a few T_6 and a few T_9 .

<u>Lesson</u>: Nuclear reactions that absorb (rather than emit) energy from ambient radiation field (in stellar interior) can lead to catastrophic consequences.

Time Scales

Different physical processes inside a star, e.g., nuclear reactions (changing chemical composition) are slow (longer time scales); structural adjustments (dP/dt)take places on relatively shorter time scales.

- ✓ Dynamical timescale
- ✓ Thermal timescale
- ✓ Nuclear timescale
- ✓ Diffusion timescale

Dynamical Timescale

hydrostatic equilibrium $\xrightarrow{\text{perturbation}} \text{motion} \xrightarrow{\text{adjustment}} \text{hydrostatic equilibrium}$

<u>Free-fall collapse</u>

Equation of motion $\ddot{r} = -\frac{GM_r}{r^2} - \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{dP}{dr}$

Near the star's surface $r = R, M_r = M$, so $\ddot{R} = -\frac{GM}{R^2} - \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{dP}{dR}$

Free-fall means pressure \ll gravity, so $\ddot{R} \approx -\frac{GM}{R^2}$

Assuming a constant acceleration $R = -(\ddot{R}/2) \tau_{\rm ff}^2$, so

$$\tau_{\rm ff} = (2R^3/GM)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\pi G\overline{\rho}\right)^{1/2}} \approx 0.04 \left(\frac{\rho_{\odot}}{\overline{\rho}}\right)^{1/2} [\rm d]$$

Stellar Pulsation

The star pulsates about the equilibrium configuration

 \rightarrow same as dynamical timescale



R

 C_{S}

Propagation of Sound Speed (pressure wave)

Pressure induced perturbation,

$$R/\tau_{\rm ff}^2 = -\frac{\ddot{R}}{2} = \frac{GM}{R^2} + \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{dP}{dR} \approx \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{dP}{dR} \approx \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{P}{R}$$

so $\frac{R}{\tau_{\rm ff}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{P}{\rho}} \approx c_s$ (sound speed) $\propto \sqrt{T}$ (for ideal gas) $\tau_{\rm s} \approx$

In general,
$$\tau_{\rm dyn} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{G\overline{\rho}}} \approx \frac{1.6 \times 10^{15}}{\sqrt{n}} [s] = 1000 \sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{R_{\odot}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{M_{\odot}}{M}\right)} [S]_{56}$$

Thermal Timescale

Kelvin-Helmholtz timescale (radiation by gravitational contraction)

$$E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{grav}} + E_{\text{thermal}} = \frac{1}{2} E_{\text{grav}} = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha G M^2 / R$$

This amount of energy is radiated away at a rate *L*, so timescale $\tau_{\rm KH} = \frac{E_{\rm total}}{L} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha G M^2 / RL$ $= 2 \times 10^7 M^2 / RL \quad [\rm yr] in \ solar \ units$

$$au_{\rm KH} \approx 2 \times 10^7 \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{R_{\odot}}{R}\right) \left(\frac{L_{\odot}}{L}\right) \, [{\rm yr}]$$

$M=1~{\cal M}_{\odot}$, $R=1~{ m pc}$	$M=1{\cal M}_{\odot}$, $R=1{\cal R}_{\odot}$
$\tau_{\rm dyn} \approx 1.6 \times 10^7 {\rm \ yr}$	$\tau_{\rm dyn} \approx 1.6 \times 10^3 {\rm s} \approx 30 {\rm min}$
$\tau_{\rm ther} \approx 1 {\rm yr}$	$\tau_{\rm ther} \approx 3 \times 10^7 {\rm \ yr}$

Nuclear Timescale

Time taken to radiate at a rate *L* on nuclear energy $4 {}^{1}H \rightarrow {}^{4}He \ (Q = 6.3 \times 10^{18} \text{erg/g})$ $\tau_{\text{nuc}} = \frac{E_{\text{nuc}}}{L} = 6.3 \times 10^{18} \frac{M}{L}$

$$\tau_{\rm nuc} \approx 10^{11} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right) \left(\frac{L_{\odot}}{L}\right) \, [\rm yr]$$

From the discussion above, $\tau_{nuc} \gg \tau_{KH} \gg \tau_{dyn}$

Main-Sequence Lifetime of the Sun



Diffusion Timescale

Time taken for photons to randomly walk out from the stellar interior to eventual radiation from the surface

 $r_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2}$ ("classical" radius of the electron) $\sigma_{\text{Thomson}} = \frac{8\pi}{3} r_e^2 = 6.6525 \times 10^{-29} \text{ [m^2] for interactions}$ with photon energy $h\nu \ll m_e c^2$ (electron rest energy) Thus, mean free path $\ell = 1/(\sigma_T n_e)$, where for complete ionization of a hydrogen gas, $n_e = M/(m_p R^3)$. So, $\ell \approx m_p R^3 / \sigma_T M = 4$ [mm] for the mean density. At the core, it is 100 times shorter.

 $\tau_{\rm dif} \approx 10^4 \, [\rm yr]$ (Exercise: Show this.)

For an isotropic gas

$$P = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty p \, v_p \, n(p) \, dp$$

- p and v_p : relativistic case
- n(p): particle type & quantum statistics

For a photon gas,
$$p = h\nu/c$$
, so
 $P_{rad} = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty h\nu n(\nu) d\nu = \frac{1}{3} u = \frac{1}{3} aT^4$,
 $a = 7.565 \times 10^{15} \text{ ergs cm}^{-3} \text{ K}^{-4}$

Radiation Pressure

 $P_{\text{total}} = P_{\text{radiation}} + P_{\text{gas}}$ Since $P_{\text{rad}} \sim T^4 \sim M^4 / R^4$ But $P_{\text{tot}} \sim M^2 / R^4$ $\Rightarrow P_{\text{rad}} / P_{\text{tot}} \sim M^2$

So the more massive of a star, the higher relative contribution by radiation pressure (and γ decreases to 4/3.)

When
$$P_{\text{rad}}$$
 dominates

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{-d P_{\text{rad}}/dr}{\kappa \rho} = \frac{4ac}{3} T^3 \frac{dT}{dr} = \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$$

$$\frac{dP_{\text{rad}}}{dr} \sim \frac{\kappa \rho}{c} \frac{L}{4\pi r^2}$$

On the other hand, by definition

$$\frac{dP_{\text{tot}}}{dr} = -\rho \frac{Gm}{r^2}$$
$$\Rightarrow \frac{dP_{\text{rad}}}{dP_{\text{rad}}} = \frac{\kappa L}{4\pi cGm}$$

Toward the outer layers, both $P_{\text{gas}} \searrow$ and $P_{\text{rad}} \searrow$, so $P_{\text{tot}} \searrow \checkmark$, and $dP_{\text{tot}} > dP_{\text{rad}}$. This leads to $\kappa L \le 4\pi cGm$

At the surface, m = M, P = 0, it is always radiative, so



This is the **Eddington luminosity limit** = Maximum luminosity of a celestial object in balance between the radiation and gravitational force.

Numerically,

$$L_{Edd}/L_{\odot} = 3.27 \times 10^4 \ \mu_e \, M/M_{\odot}$$

For X-ray luminosity, scattered by electrons in an optically thin gas, $L_X < 10^{38}$ erg sec⁻¹

Eddington limit is the upper limit on the luminosity of an object of mass $M, L \leq \left(\frac{4\pi G m_p}{\sigma_T}\right) M$ $\equiv L_{\rm Edd} \approx 10^{38} M / M_{\odot} [{\rm erg s}^{-1}]$

For
$$1 M_{\odot}$$
, $L_{Edd} \approx 5 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$, $M_{bol} = -7.0$
For 40 M_{\odot} , $M_{bol} = -11.0$

Eta Carina, $L \approx 5 \times 10^6 L_{\odot}$, $M_{\text{bol}} = -11.6$, $M \approx 120 M_{\odot}$





NGC 3372 $\alpha = 10: 45.1, b = -59: 52 (J2000)$ $\ell = 287.7, b = 0.8$ D = 2.3 kpc

3.0°x2.5°. DSS image. © AAO/ROE

http://www.atlasoftheuniverse.com/nebulae/ngc3372.html 67

In general, LEad = 3.2×10 M Ke. [Lo] inequality is violated - Ead can be exceeded if O LIT s.g., intense thermonuclean burning ○ Kîî, e.g. H on He ionization Hydrostatic equilibrium can no longer => maintamed . need a different heat tramfer mechanism

Comparison of 1, 5, and $25 \mathcal{M}_{\odot}$ stars



Evolutionary tracks of theoretical model stars in the HR diagram (Iben, 1985)