Post-main Sequence Evolution



Hypergiants luminosity class 0; excessive mass loss

Supergiants Ia luminous supergiants; Ib supergiants; $Ia^+ = 0$

Subgiants luminous class IV; between MS turn-off and the red giant branch

Dwarfs luminosity class V = MS stars

Subdwarfs (sd) luminosity class VI, 1.5 to 2 mag lower than MS; lower metallicity









$$\dot{\mathcal{M}} = 4 \pi r^2 \rho(r) v(r) \text{ mass conservation}$$

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{dP}{dr} - \frac{GM}{r^2} = \frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{dv}{dr} \frac{dr}{dt} = v \frac{dv}{dr} \text{ momentum conservation}$$

Massive stars \rightarrow radiation pressure \rightarrow outer atmosphere expands supersonically \rightarrow winds driven by spectral-line opacity in UV.











Next Tuesday (May 30) is a holiday, again. A make-up class on June 5 (Monday) at 3 pm? June 7 (Wednesday) at 3 pm?

Stellar Pulsation









Star	Density g cm ⁻³	t _{vib} sec	t _{rot, min} sec
Neutron star	10 ¹⁵	10 ⁻⁴	$3 \ge 10^{-4}$
White Dwarf	107	1	3
RR Lyrae star	10^{-2}	104.5	10 ⁵
Cepheid Variable	10^{-6}	10 ^{6.5}	107
Rotationa	t (Crab Nebula) ~ al Variation SU	33 ms → canno 1b-seconds	t be a white dwarf weeks

K mechanism — a partially ionized layer
to absorb energy during compression
(and reference energy during expansion)But if there is an
ionization layer,
e.g., He⁺
$$\rightarrow$$
 He⁺⁺In stars, there are 2 ionization Eones
 $- T \sim (1-1.5) \times 10^{6} K$
 $HI \rightarrow HII$, $HeI \rightarrow HeII$
 $HeI \rightarrow HeII$
helium jenization EoneT $\lambda \rightarrow \kappa \lambda$
energy trapped
 \rightarrow expansionTo $\Sigma \sim (4-5) \times 10^{6} K$
HeI \rightarrow HeII
helium jenization EoneEnergy escaped
 \rightarrow Contraction



Normally, TI => K 1 plays a role also in red gianto Core - increased energy sutput Envelope + expansion, cooling + K 1 => Red grants have convective envelopes. of PMS Hayashi tracks The envelope setends from just outside of the H-burning shell to the surface. -> Dredge-up of processed material from deep interior to surface 24

s.g., observations of heavy elements a isotope ratios in evolved stars different from (enrichment) young stars (The a star cluster) => Evidence of stellar evolutions . of nuclear reactions. 25



The Dredge-ups

When H shell burning begins, the He core contracts and heats up, making the shell burn furiously. The input of energy forces the envelope to expand and the star moves up the "red giant branch" (RGB). But the furiously burning shell runs on the CNO cycle and now the envelope becomes convective because of the low temperature, high opacity, and high temperature gradient, and processed material from the core mixes for the first time with the envelope. We call this the first dredge-up which should be visible in the spectrum of the photosphere as an increase in N at the expense of C and O.

27

For stars more than half a solar mass, the (gravitationally) contracting and heating He core will reach ignition temperature for triple alpha, and the star will (after a possibly traumatic He-flash start) begin life on the "helium burning main sequence". When the He is exhausted in the core (the H-burning shell never provides enough He to keep the core going very long) the He begins shell burning, and now the star rapidly moves up the AGB, the Asymptotic Giant Branch. Now begins a second dredge-up where for the first time new elements (C N and O) appear in the star's photosphere. The triple alpha shell is really unstable and generates thermal pulses rather than a clean burn. The C core is nearly degenerate at the C-He boundary. The boundary shrinks, heats up, triple alpha starts, pulses, and the explosion may shut 28

itself down. The pulse is quite muffled by the outer layers of the star. But during a pulse the process can actually initiate more complicated fusion processes including neutron generation which can synthesize heavier elements. So for the first time new elements can be dredged up during the AGB phase of stellar evolution. Now these giant stars all have associated strong stellar winds and so can contribute to the chemical evolution of the cosmos.

29

30

But why wait for a dredge-up? Really massive O stars evolve in a really short time and lose their outer layers due to strong stellar winds really fast. There is a class of stars, the Wolf-Rayet or WR stars whose spectra are helium-rich and hydrogen-deficient which are thought to have lost their outer layers revealing directly the by-products of the CNO cycle (original CNO recycled to mostly N -- WN stars) or even the triple alpha (first production of new elements, mainly C -- WC stars). Almost all WR stars are binary stars which may help the envelope stripping process.

The Dredge-ups H shell burning -> He core f, T 1 11 envelope expands -> red giant branch CNO cycle -> low T, high opacity, VT 1 : convective envelope Material in the core brought up and mixed with envelope => The (first) dredge-up plutosphere observed N ? at the expenses of c and O 31

He flash IE M > 0.5Mo -> He core burning (He "main sequence") (lasting v. short) He shell burning -> asymptotic giant branch (AGB) => The second dredge-up 3 & process -> unstable -> thermal pulses Heavy elements in spectra of evolved stars ↔ YSOS => obs. Yest of Stellar evolution 32





<u>Fermi-Dirac distribution</u> for non-interacting, indistinguishable particles obeying Pauli exclusion principle; applicable to half-integer spin in TE. Examples of fermions include the electron, proton, neutrons, ³He (2 e⁻, 2 p⁺, 1 n⁰)

Bose-Einstein distribution for particles not limited to single occupancy of the same energy state. i.e., that do not obey Pauli exclusion principle; with integer values of spin. Example bosons include ⁴He, the Higgs boson, gauge boson, graviton, meson.





Chemical Potential (µ)

- Temperature governs the flow of energy between two systems.
- Chemical potential governs the flow of particles; from higher chemical potential to the lower









For low-mass stars (0.7-2Mo) Pe is high -> e degeneray sets in before core He burning begins When He burning starts -> Te 1 (but Pe does not) -> e 11 => He flash Evelease ~ 10" Lo in a few seconds Energy absorbed by envelope (being pushed) no observable effects ! 42

























For high - mass stais, e.g., & Mo L grav contributes ; L, 1 for Mr < 0.1 M => VT => Later He burning begins before e deg. sets in Shell burning pushes the core and envelope $\rightarrow L_r (r > 0.2) \downarrow$ Eshell II => envelope expounds II Ly adds mass until Schönberg - Chaudrasekhar lunt (4) => core contracts, Te 1 -> Eshell 111 pusho envelope, Tets & , Ly Scoust 55



T()- () ~ 7.5 x 10 yr (i.e., v. short) -> Hertesprung gap ~ (g- (b) ~ 5 × 10 yr (b) = tip € RGB = onset of He burning But core is nondegenerate Te~2×108K : core expands, Eure t envelope contraits, Teff ? 57





$$\begin{array}{c} f \ M_{\Theta} \left(continued \right) \\ From \ \bigcirc \rightarrow \bigtriangledown \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} f \ corre \ l \ \rightarrow \ L_{\star} \ l \\ Teff \ 1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} But \ as \ Tenvelope \ 1 \ \rightarrow \ opacity \ l \ \Rightarrow \ L_{\star} \ 1 \end{array}$$





MS Stars AGB $M = 1 - 9M_{\odot}$ wind $\rightarrow envelope$ WD $\rightarrow C - 0 \text{ core } 0.6 - 1.1M_{\odot}$ roughly core mass +> MS mass => expect WD mostly 0.6 Mc During AGB, H-shell and He-shell burning bottom of He layer Envelope shed = a random process in pulses 63

If H- shell burning -> WD w/ athin layer 08 H 80% of all DA white dwarfs Il He-shell burning (H lies, no He lies less freq. - wD He layer DB (HeIlie, no H.) 16% metals => expect more DA white awarfs than DBs obs 25% He lines DC (continuous, no lines) DO (He I lives) DQ (C dominated) 64

Origins of DA and non-DA uncertain: (1) exact phase when the last thermal pulse takes place after the AGB phase, or (2) convective mixing, radiative levitation, or diffusion.
 M: o. 7 - 1.0 Mo
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 - He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 + He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 + He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 + He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 + He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD
 MS → R4 + He core ≤ 0.4 Mo wD



$\mathcal{M} < 0.7 \; \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$

 $< 0.16 \text{ M}_{\odot} \rightarrow \text{no RGB}$ $< 0.5 \text{ M}_{\odot} \rightarrow \tau_{MS} > \tau_{Universe}$ $< 0.5 \sim 0.7 \text{ M}_{\odot} \rightarrow \text{no core He burning}$

Very low-mass stars are completely convective \rightarrow more H to burn $\rightarrow \tau_{MS}$ lengthened





 $\mathcal{M} = 25 - 60 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ Mass loss not sufficient to remove the entire envelope $\mathcal{M} = 40 - 60 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ O type star \rightarrow blue super giant \rightarrow yellow supergiant \rightarrow red supergiant \rightarrow blue supergiant \rightarrow WN \rightarrow supernova $\mathcal{M} = 25 - 40 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ O type star \rightarrow blue super giant \rightarrow yellow supergiant \rightarrow red supergiant \rightarrow supernova

$\mathcal{M} > 60 \ \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$

Mass loss fierce $\approx 10^{-1}$ M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, rid of almost entire envelope during the LBV stage, left with a WR star, evolving toward a SN.

0 type star \rightarrow 0f star \rightarrow blue super giant

→ luminous blue variable → WN star → WC star → supernova















1. Introduction

Massive stars are essential constituents of stellar populations and galaxies in the near and far Universe. They are among the most important sources of ionizing photons, energy, and some chemical species, which are ejected into the interstellar medium through powerful stellar winds and during their extraordinary deaths as supernovae (SN) and long gamma-ray bursts (GRB). For these reasons, massive stars are often depicted as cosmic engines, because they are directly or indirectly related to most of the major areas of astrophysical research.

Despite their importance, our current understanding of massive stars is still limited. This inconvenient shortcoming can be explained by many reasons on which we elaborate below. First, the physics of star formation mean that massive stars are rare (Salpeter 1955). Moreover, their lifetime is short, of a few to tens of millions of years (e.g., Ekström et al. 2012; Langer 2012). These factors make it challenging to construct evolutionary sequences and relate different classes of massive stars. This is in sharp contrast to what can be done for low-mass stars.

Second, one can also argue that the evolution of massive stars is extremely sensitive to the effects of some physical processes, such as mass loss and rotation (Maeder & Meynet 2000; Heger et al. 2000), that have relatively less impact on the evolution of low-mass stars. However, the current implementation of rotation in one-dimensional codes relies on parametrized formulas, and the choice of the diffusion coefficients has a key impact on the evolution (Meynet et al. 2013). Likewise, mass-loss recipes arising from first principles are only available for main sequence (MS) objects (Vink et al. 2000, 2001) and a restricted range of Wolf-Rayet (WR) star parameters (Gräfener & Hamann 2008). Third, binarity seems to affect the evolution of massive stars, given that a large portion of them are in binary systems that will interact during the evolution (Sana et al. 2012).

Fourth, our understanding of different classes of stars is often built by comparing evolutionary models and observations. However, mass loss may affect the spectra, magnitudes, and colors of massive stars, thus making the comparison between evolutionary models and observations a challenge. In addition to luminosity, effective temperature, and surface gravity, the observables of massive stars can be strongly influenced by a radiatively driven stellar wind that is characteristic of these stars. The effects of mass loss on the observables depend on the initial mass and metallicity, since they are in general more noticeable in MS stars with large initial masses, during the post-MS phase, and at high metallicities. When the wind density is significant, the mass-loss rate, wind clumping, wind terminal velocity, and velocity law have a strong impact on the spectral morphology. This makes the analysis of a fraction of massive stars a difficult task, and obtaining their fundamental parameters, such as luminosity and effective temperature, is subject to the uncertainties that comes from our limited understanding of mass loss and clumping. Furthermore, the definition of effective temperature of massive stars with dense winds is problematic and, while referring to an optical depth surface, it does not relate to a hydrostatic surface. This is caused by the atmosphere becoming extended, with the extension being larger the stronger the wind is. Stellar evolution models are able to predict the stellar parameters only up to the stellar hydrostatic surface, which is not directly reached by the observations of massive stars when a dense stellar wind is present. Since current evolutionary models do not thoroughly simulate the physical mechanisms happening at the atmosphere and wind, model predictions of the evolution of massive stars are difficult to be directly compared to observed quantities, such as a spectrum or a photometric measurement.



Fig. 3. a) HR diagram showing the evolutionary track of a non-rotating star with initial mass of $60 M_{\odot}$ at metallicity Z = 0.014, using our revised values of T_{eff} . The color code corresponds to the evolutionary phases of a massive star, with H-core burning in blue, He-core burning in orange, C-core burning in green, and H and/or He-shell burning in gray. b) Similar to a), but color code according to the spectroscopic phases. Lifetimes of each phase are indicated in parenthesis. c) Evolution of T_{eff} as a function of age. The color code is the same as in a). d) Surface abundances

78

77









Initial Mass Function

The birthrate function B(M, t) is the number of stars per unit volume, with masses between M and M + dM that are formed out of ISM during time interval t and t + dt.

 $B(M,t) dM dt = \psi(t) \xi(M) dM dt,$ where $\psi(t)$ is the star formation rate (SFR), and $\xi(M)$ is the initial mass function (IMF).

For the Galactic disk, SFR is $5.0 \pm 0.5 M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-2} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ integrated over the *z* direction.

IMF: many more low-mass stars than higher mass stars as a result of cloud fragmentation?



- Edwin Salpeter (1955) on solar-neighborhood stars (ApJ, 121, 161) Present-day LF \rightarrow mass-luminosity relation \rightarrow present-day mass function \rightarrow stellar evolution \rightarrow initial mass function α =2.35 or Γ = 1.35
- Glenn E. Miller and John M. Scalo extended work below 1 M_{\odot} (1979, ApJS, 41, 513) $\alpha \approx 0$ for M < 1 M_{\odot}
- Pavel Kroupa (2002, Sci, 295, 82) $\alpha = 2.3 \text{ for } M > 0.5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ $\alpha = 1.3 \text{ for } 0.08 \text{ M}_{\odot} < M < 0.5 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ $\alpha = 0.3 \text{ for } M < 0.08 \text{ M}_{\odot}$
- A universal IMF among stellar systems (SFRs, star clusters, galaxies) (Bastian et al. 2010, ARAA). But why?

















