## Analysis of NGC 6823 data

I started out with what Alisher processed. The processed data all are stored in my pc, under D:LDATALMaidanak2001.8\AlisherProcessed\M01\M01_reduced $10.6 \mathrm{~m} \backslash$ aug 16-17. First of all I compared the overlapping region between pointing \#4 and \#5, whose images (r004calw.fit and r005calw.fit) are shown below. Note that the x-axis has to be inverted so that N is to the top and E to the left. The astronomy is good.


I do not know what a file with the name of xxx.cat means, but from the contents, I guessed the corresponding files are r004calw.match and r005calw.match, with the following format,
r004calw.fits:
\# Arcsec/Pixel $=0.6880460 .687719$ Rotation $=-0.430596$ degrees
\# Optical axis=19:42:03.144 $+23: 25: 32.37 \mathrm{~J} 2000 \mathrm{x}=512.00 \mathrm{y}=512.00$
\# Optical axis $=19: 39: 55.970 \quad+23: 18: 21.29 \mathrm{~B} 1950 \quad x=512.00 \mathrm{y}=512.00$
\# usnoa2_id ra2000 dec2000 magc X Y magi dra ddec sep 1125.13935270 19:42:22.685 +23:24:52.97 13.20 903.7 458.5-14.81 -0.25 -0.50 0.56

In order to read in the data, I removed the ' $\because$ ' sign from the coordinates and deleted the summary text at the end of the file, and renamed the file to r004.new.txt. So to plot the file, ezplot would need to skip 5 lines and read in 14 columns.

| 1 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1125.13935270 | 19 | 42 | 22.685 | +23 | 24 | 52.97 | 13.20 | 903.7 | 458.5 | -14.81 | -0.25 | -0.50 |
| 0.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The luminosity function (column 8) of $\mathbf{r 0 0 4}$ is


I sorted r004.new.txt according to flux (column 8) and the first two most brightest stars are marked 1 and 2 in the first figure above

| $1125.13940039194227 .263+232034.1912 .70997 .8$ | $82.6-14.19$ | 0.13 | -0.26 | 0.28 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1125.13935270194222 .685+232452.97$ | 13.20903 .7 | $458.5-14.81$ | -0.25 | -0.50 | 0.56 |

These do not seem right because apparently the second star should be brighter, judging from the image. I will need to ask Alisher about this.


stars brighter than 16 mag

